

Original Article

Metabolic Control, Nutrition Knowledge, Attitude and Practice in Non-Insulindependent Diabetic Patients from Kohgiluyeh and Boyer-Ahmad Province, South-West of Iran

Janmohamad Malekzadeh*¹, Shahla Pourali², Afsaneh Behroozpour³, Maria Amirian⁴, Fariba Malekzadeh⁵

- 1- Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran.
- 2- General Practitioner, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran.
- 3- M.Sc.in health Education, Yasuj School of health, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran.
- 4- B.Sc. in Nutrition, Student Research Committee, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran.
- 5- M.Sc. in English Language Teaching, Boyer-Ahmad Department of Education, Yasuj, Iran

Received: May 2016 Accepted: June 2016

ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Diabetes mellitus is among the most common causes of mortality in the world and an important risk factor for chronic kidney disease, foot amputation, ischemic heart disease and blindness among older adults. Diabetic patients mostly develop hyperlipidemia, which can result in cardiovascular diseases. Patient's knowledge, attitude and practices toward diet are the core center for diabetes control and affect their metabolic control and complications. In the present study, we measured nutritional knowledge, attitude and practices and their relations to serum lipids, HbA1C, and fasting blood glucose in diabetic patients of Boirahmad County, southwest of Iran, where many people encounter increasing prevalence of diabetes.

Materials and Methods: 198 IDDM patients from the rural and urban areas of Boirahmad County were invited to the health centers to be checked for their fasting blood glucose, serum total cholesterol, serum HDL cholesterol, serum triglyceride and also serum glycosylated hemoglobin. Their knowledge, attitude, and practices toward the diabetic diet were assessed using a validated questionnaire. The obtained scores were classified into three categories (Poor, average, and Good) to show their knowledge, attitude and practice levels, and the serum parameters were compared between the levels to show the relevancies.

Results: Our data showed that the patients' knowledge and attitude on diabetic nutrition are mostly at the average level (79.3% and 47.1%, respectively) but their practice scores are mostly at the poor level (43.8%), and just a minor proportion of the patients are at the appropriate levels (15.3, 33, and 23.1% of knowledge, attitude and practices, respectively). In addition, we found a significant reverse relationship between the patients' nutritional knowledge and serum HbA1C (p=0.003), and also between their attitude and serum triglyceride (p<0.05).

Conclusions: Our data suggest that the knowledge, attitude and practices of diabetic patients in Boirahmad are not satisfying; also it is necessary to run interventional studies to find the best educational methods to improve, control and manage the diabetics in this area.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus, Metabolic control, Knowledge, Attitude, Practice, Nutrition

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is among the five main causes of global mortality (1) and its prevalence is increasing continuously, predicted to involve more than 353 million people in 2030 (2). This disorder is on of

important leading threats to human health (3) and causes serious health complications including limb amputation, blindness, renal failure and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). It further imposes

economic burden (3, 4). Diabetes management depends on the patients' ability to self-care, and therefore, their knowledge, attitude and practices toward diabetes care (5). The quality of patients' knowledge, attitude and practices differs based on different cultural and economic basis, and may have different effects on diabetes control. Previous research reported increasing diabetes prevalence of 8.7% - 24% (6-9) different regions and groups of Iran. In addition studies on knowledge, attitude and practices toward diabetes management and control have already showed inconsistent results in different parts of Iran (10-14). While some studies reported optimum conditions in knowledge, attitude and practice toward diabetes (10), others suggest insufficient knowledge, attitude and practices (12-15). According to previous studies undiagnosed diabetes and poor diabetes management are big challenges in Iran (4). Nutritional knowledge, attitude and practices of diabetic patients have central role in diabetes management. Therefore, diabetes education is one of the policies that governments implement to control and manage diabetes (16). However, the effects of these educations are not elucidated, and little is known about their effectiveness in improving diabetic control. Hence, we determined nutritional knowledge, attitude and practices of Boirahmad County's diabetic patients who referred to the urban and rural health centers, and evaluated their association with the patient's metabolic control.

Materials and Methods

Sample size: Assuming 85% undesired metabolic control that was estimated in a pilot study consisting of 30 diabetic patients (p=0.85), 95 % confidence interval ($Z_{1-\alpha/2}=1.96$) and 0.05 % error of estimate (d=0.05), the calculated sample size was 196 diabetic patients. The formula used is: $n=z^2p(1-p)/d^2$.

Procedures: The study was carried out from spring to summer of 2014. Some 198 patients, who were registered in Boirahmad rural and urban health centers as independent diabetic mellitus patients, included in the study. Patients having more than 30 years of age and with diabetes history more than one year were regarded as eligible subjects. After describing the study objectives to the patients, those who were agreed to participate in the study, filled in a structured KAP questionnaire. For illiterate patients, the questions were orally asked, and their responses were filled in by a general practitioner. After overnight fasting, 5mL of their venous blood was sampled and sent to the laboratory to measure their fasting blood glucose, serum HbA1C, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides. Fasting blood glucose higher than 130 mg/dl, LDL-C higher than 100 mg/dl, HDL-C lower than 40 for women and lower than 50 for men, and triglycerides higher than 150 mg/dl were regarded as abnormal in patients (14).

Questionnaire: After discussions on some other Persian and Non-Persian questionnaires, the KAP questionnaire was designed based on the cultural and nutritional characteristics of Boirahmadian people. The KAP questionnaire content validity was approved by nutritionist expert panel, and its reliability was determined by test-retest method and computing Pearson's correlation (r=0.73)p < 0.0001for knowledge, r=0.78 p<0.0001 for attitude and r=0.7. p<0.0001 for practice). Also Cronbach's alpha was computed to test the internal consistency of the questionnaire. Cronbaach's alpha coefficient of the questionnaire was 0.70, 0.83 and 0.76 for knowledge, attitude and practice questionnaire, respectively.

Demographic characteristics of the patients were also filled in the questionnaire. The patients were scored for their knowledge, attitude and practices based on their answers. The answers to 19 knowledge questions were scored as 1 for correct answers and 0 for incorrect answers (range from 0-19). 10 attitude questions were scored as 5 for strongly agree, 4 for agree, 3 for doubtful, 2 for disagree, and 1 for strongly disagrees for favorable questions, and the scores were 5 for strongly disagree, 4 for disagree, 3 for doubtful, 2 for agree, and 1 for strongly agree for unfavorable questions (total attitude scores ranged from 10-50). The scores were categorized as rational scales in good (> 14), average (10-14) and poor (< 10) for knowledge levels, and good (> 30), average (25-30) and poor (< 25) for attitude levels [14].

10 practice scores was also computed by scoring healthy practices as 1 and unhealthy practices as zero. The computed practice scores were classified in three categories including poor practice (0-3), averagely good practice (4-6), and good practice (7-10).

Statistics: The data were analyzed using SPSS version 19. Group means were compared using independent t-test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) after controlling for variance hemosedacity and data distribution. Qualitative variables were analyzed by Chi square test to show variable association. P values below 0.05 were considered statistically different or associated. Metabolic characteristics of the cases including serum cholesterol, HDL-C, TG, HbA1C, LDL-C and FBS were compared between the groups with good, average and poor levels of knowledge, attitude and practice using ANOVA. The Scheffe post-hoc test was used to determine the between-group differences, if the ANOVA test results were significant.

Results

198 cases participated in the study; 66 cases were females. The age range of the participants was 22-80 years. Age and anthropometric characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1.

Means, standard deviation and also undesired proportions of metabolic parameters are shown in table 3. Findings showed that majority of patients had undesirable FBS (55.1%), serum LDL-C (58.8%), serum HDL-C (66%) and serum triglycerides (54%). The findings in Table 4 report the knowledge, attitude and practice conditions of the participants. As shown, a majority of them had low or average scores in knowledge, attitude and practice but a minor

proportion of patients had good knowledge on diabetic nutrition.

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation of age, weight, and height and body mass index in diabetic patients

	sex	N	Mean±SD	P value
Age	Female	66	45.8±14.1	0.26
_	Male	132	43.6±12.2	
Weight	Female	66	69.05±9.7	0.0001
	Male	131	77.2±10.4	
Height	Female	61	162.8±7.1	0.0001
	Male	122	173.4 ± 7.5	
BMI	Female	61	26.3±3.5	0.6
	Male	121	25.8 ± 3.8	

Data did not show any significant differences between the males' and females' body mass index (BMI) and age but height and weight in males were higher than in females. Demographic findings of the subjects including occupational and educational statuses, as well as residential areas are reported in Table 2.

Table 2. Demographic findings of cases

		Male number (percent)	Female number (percent)	Total number (percent)
Residency	Rural	72(55.8)	32(50.8)	104(54.2)
	Urban	57(44.2)	31(49.2)	88(45.8)
	Employed	105(82)	8(12.3)	113(58.5)
	Unemployed	2(1.6)	20(30.8)	22(11.5)
Employment status	Self-employed	15(11.7)	25(38.5)	40(20.7)
	Retired	6(4.7)	12(18.4)	18(9.3)
	Illiterate	40(30.3)	14(21.5)	54(27.4)
	Primary	27(20.4)	8(12.3)	35(17.8)
Education level	Secondary	12(9.1)	12(18.5)	24(12.2)
	High school	24(18.2)	11(16.9)	35(17.8)
	Academic	29(22)	20(30.8)	49(24.8)

Findings on the relationship between metabolic indices and nutritional knowledge showed that the serum HbA1C concentrations of diabetics with poor knowledge are significantly higher than the diabetics with good and average knowledge (p=0.003; Table 5). In the group with poor knowledge, FBS is also higher than in the other two groups (diabetics with average and good knowledge); however, the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05). Table 6 shows

the findings on the relationship between the patients' attitude and their metabolic parameters. There is an inverse association between patients' serum triglycerides and their attitude toward the diabetic nutrition; however, we could not find any association between other metabolic parameters and the patients' attitude. The results of the patients' nutritional practice did not show any significant relationship to metabolic parameters (Table 7).

5

Table 3. The mean, standard deviation and frequency of desired and undesired metabolic indices measured in diabetic patients

Serum indices	Mean±SD	Optimal controlled Number (percent)	Poor controlled Number (percent)
Fasting Blood Glucos	150.8±83.9	87(43.9)	109(55.1)
HbA1C	6.9 ± 2.2	116(58.9)	81(41.1)
HDL-C	42±6.6	66(34)	128(66)
LDL-C	109.1±37.3	80(41.2)	114(58.8)
Total cholesterol	186 ± 48.6	131(66.8)	65(33.2)
Triglycerides	181±85	87(46)	102(54)

Table 4. Frequency of poor, average and good knowledge, attitude and practice of diabetic patients

	Poor		Average		Good	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Knowledge	11	5.6	157	79.3	30	15.1
Attitude	35	19.9	83	47.1	58	33
Practice	70	43.8	53	33.1	37	23.1

Table 5. Mean and standard deviations of patients metabolic indices compared based on their nutritional diabetic knowledge

Vnowladge					95% Confidence Interval for Mean		F	P value
Knowledge		Number	Mean	Std. Deviation	Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
Age	Poor	11	44.82	14.8	34.84	54.80	0.39	0.672
	Average	157	43.98	12.4	42.02	45.94		
	Good	30	46.27	14.9	40.69	51.84		
	Total	198	44.37	12.9	42.56	46.18		
FBS	Poor	11	193.82	97.6	128.24	259.40	2.9	0.054
	Average	155	143.76	80.۳	131.02	156.50		
	Good	30	171.60	91.9	137.25	205.95		
	Total	196	150.83	83.9	139.00	162.66		
HbA1C	Poor	11	8.7*	3.2	6.5	10.9	5.92	0.003
	Average	156	6.7**	2.0	6.1	8.3		
	Good	30	7.5*	2.6	5.6	11.8		
	Total	197	6.9	2.2	6.5	8.5		
Cholesterol	Poor	11	180.73	51.43	146.17	215.28	0.14	0.86
	Average	157	193.78	88.20	179.87	207.68		
	Good	30	190.67	45.14	173.81	207.53		
	Total	198	192.58	81.27	181.19	203.97		
LDL-C	Poor	11	105.00	42.06	76.74	133.26	0.45	0.64
	Average	156	111.82	42.54	105.09	118.55		
	Good	30	104.77	39.27	90.11	119.43		
	Total	197	110.37	41.9٣	104.47	116.26		
HDL-C	Poor	11	43.73	8.18	38.23	49.23	0.01	0.99
	Average	156	44.29	20.2	41.09	47.49		
	Good	29	43.83	6.07	41.52	46.14		
	Total	196	44.19	18.2 ^V	41.62	46.76		
triglycerides	Poor	11	182.36	123.72	99.20	265.48	0.24	0.79
	Average	156	190.19	96.47	174.93	205.45		
	Good	29	202.55	104.68	162.73	242.37		
	Total	196	191.58	98.89	177.60	205.51		

^{*,**} Different marks show different groups, Scheffe post hoc test

Nutrition and Food Sciences Research 6 Vol 3, No 3, Jul-Sep 2016

Table 6. Mean and standard deviations of patients metabolic indices compared based on their nutritional diabetic attitude

					95% Confidence I	nterval for Mean	F	P value
		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	-	
Age	Poor Average Good Total	35 83 58 176	44.74 44.36 42.62 43.86	12.040 13.626 12.578 12.941	40.61 41.39 39.31 41.94	48.88 47.34 45.93 45.79	0.41	0.67
FBS	Poor Average Good Total	35 82 57 174	151.57 155.89 148.46 152.59	113.204 76.276 80.562 85.759	112.68 139.13 127.08 139.75	190.46 172.65 169.83 165.42	0.12	0.88
HbA1C	Poor Average Good Total	35 82 58 175	6.98 7.92 7.64 7.64	3.19 9.68 6.13 7.62	5.88 5.79 6.02 6.50	8.07 10.05 9.25 8.77	0.05	0.95
Cholesterol	Poor Average Good Total	35 83 58 176	198.03 202.11 180.31 194.11	47.14 112.32 47.09 84.63	181.83 177.58 167.93 181.52	214.22 226.64 192.69 206.70	1.7	0.17
LDL	Poor Average Good Total	35 83 57 175	120.60 110.47 109.54 112.19	38.95 44.22 41.46 42.30	107.22 100.81 98.54 105.88	133.98 120.13 120.55 118.51	1.87	0.15
HDL	Poor Average Good Total	35 83 56 174	41.71 42.53 45.11 43.20	6.40 6.77 17.01 11.12	39.51 41.05 40.55 41.53	43.91 44.01 49.66 44.86	0.4	0.66
Triglycerides	Poor Average Good Total	35 83 56 174	200.6* 192.5* 151.8** 180.9	95.54 83.43 69.52 83.41	16.51 9.64 9.84 6.37	256.2 221.01 189.47 207.12	5.2	0.006

^{*,**} Different marks show different groups, Scheffe post hoc test

 Table 7. Mean and standard deviations of patients metabolic indices compared based on their nutritional diabetic practice

					95% Confidence Interval for Mean		F	P value
		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	•	
Age	Poor Average Good Total	70 53 37 160	43.9 46.2 42.3 44.3	12.1 14.9 12.5 13.2	41.1 42.1 38.2 42.3	46.8 50.3 46.5 46.4	0.99	0.37
FBS	Poor Average Good Total	69 52 37 158	136.7 159.4 148.3 146.9	74.8 92.5 68.9 79.9	118.7 133.6 125.3 134.3	154.7 185.2 171.3 159.5	1.2	0.30
Glycosylated hemoglobin	Poor Average Good Total	69 53 37 159	6.7 8.3 6.9 7.3	2.3 12.0 1.8 7.2	6.1 5.02 6.3 6.2	7.2 11.6 7.5 8.4	0.13	0.87
Cholesterol	Poor Average Good Total	70 53 37 160	195.8 195.6 192.4 194.9	116.5 62.4 47.5 87.6	168.0 178.4 176.5 181.2	223.6 212.8 208.2 208.6	0.67	0.5
LDL	Poor Average Good Total	70 52 37 159	113.0 106.8 116.5 111.8	41.5 44.9 38.8 42.0	103.1 94.2 103.5 105.2	122.9 119.3 129.4 118.4	1.5	0.22
HDL	Poor Average Good Total	70 52 37 159	41.9 46.1 44.7 43.8	6.2 17.5 6.7 11.4	40.4 41.2 42.0 42.0	43.4 50.9 46.5 45.6	2.05	0.13
Triglycerides	Poor Average Good Total	70 53 36 159	192.4 193.5 171.9 188.1	105.7 88.8 77.3 94.2	167.1 169.0 145.7 173.3	217.6 218.0 198.1 202.8	0.45	0.64

Vol 3, No 3, Jul-Sep 2016 7 Nutrition and Food Sciences Research

Discussion

The research findings showed that few patients are well in diabetic nutritional knowledge, attitude and practice. Just 15 % of the patients were in good conditions of diabetes nutrition knowledge. This result on knowledge is in accordance with the findings of studies carried out in other parts of Iran (12, 13, 17) and other countries (5, 11, 18-23). Niroomand et al reported high levels of patients' knowledge, attitude, and practice among Iranian diabetics in Alborz Province (10). Shahrakivahed et al. found that 90 % of Iranian diabetics were not informed about diabetic nutrition (12). It appears that lack of nutritional knowledge, incorrect attitudes toward nutrition and also incorrect dietetic practices in diabetic patients are a common health problem in many countries including Iran (12, 13), India (18), Bangladesh (22), Mongolia (23), United Arab Emirates (5, 24), Saudi Arabia (25) and Nepal (26). In many countries, the diabetic education programs are implemented to improve diabetic knowledge, attitude and practices to reduce diabetic complications and costs. However, increasing the knowledge by itself may not be necessarily effective in promoting diabetic practices and management outcomes as shown in some studies (5, 15, 16, 27-29).

The study findings revealed that improving in knowledge is significantly associated with better metabolic control of FBG and glycosylated hemoglobin. In addition, better attitude was significantly associated with better triglyceride. However, analysis of knowledge, attitude and practice scores did not result in any significant relationship with other measured metabolic factors, including serum cholesterol, LDL-C and HDL-C. The diabetes education is a part of the diabetes prevention and control program of the Islamic Republic of Iran; in this program, the presence of a full time diabetes nurse and a part time nutritionist is anticipated to educate the diabetics (16). The goal of this diabetes control program is to maintain the fasting blood glucose between 60-90 mg/dl. cholesterol between 200-240 mg/dl, triglyceride 150-200 mg/dl, and LDL-C 100-130 mg/dl. Based on the cut-off points (14) 55.1, 41.1, 66, 58.8, 33.2, and 54% of the assessed patients had an undesirable serum FBS, HbA1C, HDL-C, LDL-C, total cholesterol and triglycerides, respectively, showing that a significant proportion of patients were not able to control their metabolic indices. Al-Maskari et al. in a study on the diabetic patients in the United Arab Emirates reported a 57% proportion poor glycemic control (HbA1C ≥8%). Yousefzadeh et al. found that 74% of women and 68.4% of men with diabetes diagnosis in Kerman Coronary Artery Disease Risk Study (KERCADRS) had serum glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C) higher than 7% (14). Also other studies reported higher prevalence of uncontrolled diabetes based on the HbA1C index in Iran (30) and other countries (31-33). Better condition in diabetic control in our study may be because of the effect of diabetes prevention and control program that is in progress from 1996 and the development of health centers besides employing more educated health personnel (16). However, a significant proportion of patients are yet at suboptimal conditions in terms of nutrition knowledge, attitude and practices.

Briefly, our findings suggest that in spite of diabetes prevention and control program of the Islamic Republic of Iran, nutrition knowledge, attitude and practice and also metabolic control of diabetics in Boirahmad are not satisfying yet and need to be promoted and be corrected by carrying out new interventions. More studies are required to determine the factors affecting metabolic controls in diabetics.

Financial disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding/Support

This study was approved by the Research Department at Yasuj University of Medical Sciences (YUMS), and the participants entered the study with the informed consent.

References

- Hartayu TS, Mi MI, Suryawati S. Improving of type 2 diabetic patients' knowledge, attitude and practice towards diabetes self-care by implementing Community-Based Interactive Approach-diabetes mellitus strategy. BMC Research Notes. 2012;5:315.
- 2. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes care. 2004;27(5):1047-53.
- 3. Zahra A, Lee EW, Sun LY, Park JH. Cardiovascular disease and diabetes mortality, and their relation to socioeconomical, environmental, and health behavioural factors in worldwide view. Public Health. 2015;129(4):385-95.
- Mirzazadeh A, Baradaran HR, Haghdoost AA, Salari P. Related factors to disparity of diabetes care in Iran.

- Medical science monitor: International Medical Journal of Experimental and Clinical Research. 2009;15(5):PH32-6.
- Al-Maskari F, El-Sadig M, Al-Kaabi JM, Afandi B, Nagelkerke N, Yeatts KB. Knowledge, attitude and practices of diabetic patients in the United Arab Emirates. PloS One. 2013;8(1):e52857.
- Azimi-Nezhad M, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, Parizadeh MR, Safarian M, Esmaeili H, Parizadeh SM, et al. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Iran and its relationship with gender, urbanisation, education, marital status and occupation. Singapore Medical Iournal. 2008;49(7):571-6.
- Esteghamati A, Etemad K, Koohpayehzadeh J, Abbasi M, Meysamie A, Noshad S, et al. Trends in the prevalence of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in association with obesity in Iran: 2005-2011. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2014;103(2):319-27.
- Esteghamati A, Gouya MM, Abbasi M, Delavari A, Alikhani S, Alaedini F, et al. Prevalence of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in the adult population of Iran: National Survey of Risk Factors for Non-Communicable Diseases of Iran. Diabetes Care. 2008;31(1):96-8.
- 9. Haghdoost AA, Rezazadeh-Kermani M, Sadghirad B, Baradaran HR. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes in the Islamic Republic of Iran: systematic review and meta-analysis. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal = La revue de sante de la Mediterranee orientale = al-Majallah al-sihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit. 2009;15(3):591-9.
- Niroomand M, Ghasemi SN, Karimi-Sari H, Kazempour-Ardebili S, Amiri P, Khosravi MH. Diabetes knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) study among Iranian inpatients with type-2 diabetes: A cross-sectional study. Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome. 2015.
- 11. Saleh F, Mumu SJ, Ara F, Begum HA, Ali L. Knowledge and self-care practices regarding diabetes among newly diagnosed type 2 diabetics in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:1112.
- Shahrakivahed A, Haghighi M, Nrouei F, Hamedishahraki S, Heydari M. A Study on the Assessment of Educational Needs of Diabetic Patients. Journal of Diabetes Nursing. 2013;1(1):31-7.
- 13. Shayeghian Z, Aguilar-Vafaie M, Besharat MA, Amiri P, Parvin M, Roohi Gillani K. The association between self-care and control of blood sugar and health-related quality of life in type II diabetes patients. Iranian J Endocrinol Metab. 2014;15(6):545-51.
- 14. Yousefzadeh G, Shokoohi M, Najafipour H. Inadequate control of diabetes and metabolic indices among diabetic patients: A population based study from the Kerman Coronary Artery Disease Risk Study (KERCADRS). International Journal of Health Policy and Management. 2015;4(5):271-7.
- 15. Mohammadi S, Karim NA, Talib RA, Amani R. Knowledge, Attitude and Practices on Diabetes Among

- Type 2 Diabetic Patients in Iran: A Cross-Sectional Study. Science. 2015;3(4):520-4.
- Azizi F, Gouya MM, Vazirian P, Dolatshahi P, Habibian S. The diabetes prevention and control programme of the Islamic Republic of Iran. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal. 2003;9(5-6):1114-21.
- 17. Goodarzi M, Ebrahimzadeh I, Rabi AR, Saeedipoor B, Asghari Jafarabadi M. Assessment of knowledge, attitude, practice and selfefficacy of diabetic patients in Karaj, Iran. Iranian J Diabetes Lipid Disorders. 2012;11(3):269-81.
- 18. Koley M, Saha S, Arya JS, Choubey G, Ghosh S, Chattopadhyay R, et al. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Related to Diabetes Mellitus Among Diabetics and Nondiabetics Visiting Homeopathic Hospitals in West Bengal, India. Journal of Evidence-based Complementary & Alternative Medicine. 2015.
- 19. Perera DP, De Silva RE, Perera WL. Knowledge of diabetes among type 2 diabetes patients attending a primary health care clinic in Sri Lanka. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal = La revue de sante de la Mediterranee orientale = al-Majallah al-sihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit. 2013;19(7):644-8.
- Shrestha L, Nagra JS. Knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) study on diabetes mellitus among Nepalese diabetic patients. Nepal Medical College Journal: NMCJ. 2005;7(1):51-3.
- 21. Khandekar R, Harby SA, Harthy HA, Lawatti JA. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding eye complications and care among Omani persons with diabetes A cross sectional study. Oman Journal of Ophthalmology. 2010;3(2):60-5.
- 22. Islam FM, Chakrabarti R, Dirani M, Islam MT, Ormsby G, Wahab M, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practice of diabetes in rural Bangladesh: the Bangladesh Population based Diabetes and Eye Study (BPDES). PloS One. 2014;9(10):e110368.
- Demaio AR, Otgontuya D, de Courten M, Bygbjerg IC, Enkhtuya P, Oyunbileg J, et al. Exploring knowledge, attitudes and practices related to diabetes in Mongolia: a national population-based survey. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:236.
- 24. Ali HI, Jarrar AH, El Sadig M, K BY. Diet and carbohydrate food knowledge of multi-ethnic women: a comparative analysis of pregnant women with and without Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. PloS One. 2013;8(9):e73486.
- Binhemd TA. Diabetes mellitus: Knowledge, attitude, practice and their relation to diabetes control in female diabetics. Annals of Saudi Medicine. 1992;12(3):247-51.
- 26. Gautam A, Bhatta DN, Aryal UR. Diabetes related health knowledge, attitude and practice among diabetic patients in Nepal. BMC endocrine disorders. 2015;15:25.
- 27. Clark CM, Fradkin JE, Hiss RG, Lorenz RA, Vinicor F, Warren-Boulton E. Promoting early diagnosis and

- treatment of type 2 diabetes: The National Diabetes Education Program. JAMA. 2000;284(3):363-5.
- 28. Cruz Y, Hernandez-Lane ME, Cohello JI, Bautista CT. The effectiveness of a community health program in improving diabetes knowledge in the Hispanic population: Salud y Bienestar (Health and Wellness). Journal of Community Health. 2013;38(6):1124-31.
- Abazari P, Vanaki Z, Mohammade I, Amini M. Challenges of Physicians' Training Program on Diabetes Prevention and Control. Iranian Journal of Medical Education. 2012;12(1):19-32.
- 30. Delavari A, Alikhani S, Nili S, Birjandi RH, Birjandi F. Quality of care of diabetes mellitus type II patients in Iran. Archives of Iranian Medicine. 2009;12(5):492-5.

- 31. Chuang LM, Tsai ST, Huang BY, Tai TY. The status of diabetes control in Asia—a cross-sectional survey of 24 317 patients with diabetes mellitus in 1998. Diabetic Medicine: A Journal of the British Diabetic Association. 2002;19(12):978-85.
- 32. Upadhyay DK, Palaian, S, Shankar PR., Mishra P, Pokhara N. Knowledge, attitude and practice about diabetes among diabetes patients in Western Nepal. . Rawal Med J. 2008;33(1):8-11.
- 33. Gul N. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of type 2 diabetic patients. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2010;22(128-31)